



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,611	12/03/2001	Tadashi Ohmori	JP920000393US1	6604
28722	7590 11/03/2004	4 EXAMINER		INER
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.			CHEN, TSE W	
P.O. BOX 969 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0969			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2116	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. Applicant(s) 10/010,611 OHMORI ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Tse Chen 2116 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u>. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-13,15-19 and 21-25 is/are rejected. 7) \boxtimes Claim(s) 7,14,20 and 26 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark (Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04	1)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) &

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, US Patent 5305268, in view of Faunce, US Patent 5081646.
- 3. McClure discloses a data transfer system [abstract; communication between external data terminal and memory cells] comprising:
 - A plurality of data lines for transferring data signals [input/output terminal dq 0-7] and at least one control line for transferring a control signal [write enable terminal w], said plurality of data lines and said at least one control line being allocated in parallel [fig.9, col.17, ll.41-55].
 - A data driver [i/o circuitry 28] connected to at least one of said plurality of data lines [col.5, ll.3-18].
 - A control driver [i/o circuitry 28] connected to at least one of said at least one control line
 [col.5, ll.3-18].
 - A host system [external data terminal], coupled to send and receive said data signals and said control signal to and from at least one storage device [memory 1] [abstract, col.3, 1.43 col.4, 1.45].
- 4. McClure did not discuss the slew rates of the data or control lines.

Art Unit: 2116

5. Faunce discloses a data transfer system [abstract] comprising:

- A plurality of data lines for transferring data signals [d0-7] and at least one control line for transferring a control signal [strobe], said plurality of data lines and said at least one control line being allocated in parallel [fig.2, col.1, ll.10-24].
- Setting a data signal slew rate and a control signal slew rate such that said data signal slew rate is smaller than said control signal slew rate [col.2, ll.16-30; low pass filtering of data lines makes slew of data lines smaller than strobe with is delayed to compensate].
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure to include the teachings of Faunce, in order to obtain the data transfer system comprising a host system, coupled to send and receive said data signals and said control signal to and from at least one storage device, setting a data signal slew rate and a control signal slew rate such that said data signal slew rate is smaller than said control signal slew rate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to improve parallel communication over extended distances [Faunce: col.1, 1.35 col.2, 1.8].
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure and Faunce as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Zumkehr et al., US Patent 6617895, hereinafter Zumkehr, and Kuroiwa, US Patent 6432731.
- 8. McClure and Faunce disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 1. McClure and Faunce did not discuss setting the control driver to an optimum value of the control signal slew rate obtained from a table.

Art Unit: 2116

2. Zumkehr discloses a data transfer system [fig.1] comprising a table [lookup table 116] containing a value of the control signal slew rate, wherein the host system [device 100] sets a value of the control signal slew rate in a control driver [driver cell 102] [col.1, l.66 – col.2, l.9; col.2, ll.32-42].

- 10. Kuroiwa discloses a data transfer system [fig.1] comprising a table [library] containing an optimum value of the control signal slew rate [slew rate Tmax], the optimum value dependent on a quantity of devices [loads] connected [col.6, l.53 col.7, l.37; col.8, ll.10-17].
- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr, Kuroiwa, McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure and Faunce to include the teachings of Zumkehr and Kuroiwa, in order to obtain the data transfer system comprising a table containing an optimum value of said control signal slew rate, said optimum value dependent on a quantity of devices connected to said host system, wherein said host system sets said optimum value of said control signal slew rate in said control driver upon said host system determining said quantity of devices. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Faunce: col.2, ll.16-21; slew rate may be increased despite low pass filtering to increase the data lines' maximum rate of change between voltage levels] [Zumkehr: col.1, ll.12-31] [Kuroiwa: col.3, ll.21-61; determination of accurate slew rate based on quantity of devices is important].
- 12. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure and Faunce as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Zumkehr.

Art Unit: 2116

13. McClure and Faunce disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 1. McClure and Faunce did not discuss setting a rising slew rate independently of a falling slew rate.

- 14. Zumkehr discloses a data transfer system [fig.1] wherein the host system [device 100] set a rising slew rate [pull-up] independently of a falling slew rate [pull-down] [col.2, ll.10-31; separate calibrations].
- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr, McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure and Faunce to include the teachings of Zumkehr, in order to obtain the data transfer system wherein said host system sets a data signal rising slew rate independently of a data signal falling slew rate, and said host sets a control signal rising slew rate independently of a control signal falling slew rate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Zumkehr: col.1, ll.12-31].
- 16. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure and Faunce as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Sharpe, US Patent 6307441.
- 17. McClure and Faunce disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 1. McClure and Faunce did not disclose explicitly a clock signal.
- 18. Sharpe discloses a data transfer system [dds 150] wherein a control signal is a clock signal [tr data clk] [fig.4; col.6, ll.4-48; clock signals used to time operations].
- 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Sharpe, McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to use the clock

control signal taught by Sharpe for the system disclosed by McClure and Faunce as the clock control signal taught by Sharpe is a well known control signal suitable for use with the data transfer system of McClure and Faunce. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to provide necessary timing for various system operations [Sharpe: col.6, 11.4-48; clock signals used for data acquisition].

Page 6

- Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure 20. and Faunce as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nilsson et al., US Patent 6189052, hereinafter Nilsson.
- 21. In re claim 5, McClure and Faunce disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 1. McClure and Faunce did not discuss a particular specification.
- Nilsson discloses a data transfer system [fig.1] wherein the data signals and the control 22. signal [hstrobe] conform to AT Attachment (ATA) Specifications [col.4, 1.35 – col.5, 1.18].
- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of 23. Nilsson, McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to use the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson for the system disclosed by McClure and Faunce as the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson is a well known specification suitable for use with the data transfer system of McClure and Faunce. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a standardized way to transfer data [Nilsson: col.4, ll.35-49].
- 24. As to claim 6, Nilsson discloses the data transfer system wherein the data signals and the control signal conform to ATA Packet Interface (ATAPI) Specifications [col.4, 11.35-55].

Art Unit: 2116

25. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure in view of Faunce and Zumkehr.

- 26. McClure discloses a data transfer method [abstract] for transferring, between a host system [external data terminal] and a storage device [memory 1], data signals via a plurality of data lines [input/output terminal dq 0-7] and a control signal via at least one control line [write enable terminal w], said plurality of data lines and said at least one control line being allocated in parallel [fig.9, col.17, ll.41-55], said method comprising the steps of:
 - Generating via a plurality of drivers [i/o circuitry 28] the data signals having a data signal slew rate and the control signal having a control signal slew rate [col.5, ll.3-18; inherently, the signals generated will have a slew rate].
 - Receiving said data signals and said control signal [col.17, ll.41-55].
- 27. McClure did not discuss the details of generating via the plurality of drivers the data signals slew rate being smaller than the control signal slew rate.
- 28. Regarding the data signals slew rate being smaller than the control signal slew rate, Faunce discloses a data transfer method [abstract] comprising:
 - Setting a data signal slew rate smaller than a control signal slew rate [col.2, 11.16-30; low
 pass filtering of data lines makes slew of data lines smaller than strobe with is delayed to
 compensate].
- 29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure to include the teachings of Faunce, in order to obtain the data transfer method comprising setting a data signal slew rate smaller than a control signal slew rate. One of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/010,611

Page 8

Art Unit: 2116

skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to improve parallel communication over extended distances [Faunce: col.1, l.35 – col.2, l.8].

- 30. Regarding generating via a plurality of drivers the desired slew rates, Zumkehr discloses a data transfer method [abstract] comprising:
 - Generating via a driver [driver cell 102] the signals having a signal slew rate [col.1, 1.66 col.2, 1.9; col.2, 11.32-42].
- 31. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr and McClure before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure to include the teachings of Zumkehr, in order to obtain the data transfer method comprising generating via a plurality of drivers the data signals having the data signal slew rate and the control signal having the control signal slew rate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Zumkehr: col.1, ll.12-31].
- 32. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Kuroiwa.
- 33. In re claim 9, McClure Faunce and Zumkehr disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 8. McClure Faunce and Zumkehr did not discuss determining a quantity of devices connected to the host system and setting an optimum value of the control signal slew rate obtained from a table.
- 34. Kuroiwa discloses a data transfer method [abstract] comprising the steps of:
 - Determining a quantity of devices [loads] connected [col.6, 1.53 col.7, 1.37].

Application/Control Number: 10/010,611

Art Unit: 2116

• Setting an optimum value of the signal slew rates [slew rate Tmax] by referring to a table [library] correlating the quantity of the connected devices to the optimum values of the signal slew rates [col.8, ll.10-17].

Page 9

- 35. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr, Kuroiwa, McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure, Zumkehr and Faunce to include the teachings of Kuroiwa, in order to obtain the data transfer method comprising the steps of determining a quantity of devices connected to a host system and setting an optimum value of said data signal slew rate and an optimum value of said control signal slew rate by referring to a table correlating said quantity of said connected devices to said optimum values of said data signal slew rates and said control signal slew rates. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Faunce: col.2, ll.16-21; slew rate may be increased despite low pass filtering to increase the data lines' maximum rate of change between voltage levels] [Zumkehr: col.1, ll.12-31] [Kuroiwa: col.3, ll.21-61; determination of accurate slew rate based on quantity of devices is important].
- 36. As to claim 10, Zumkehr, McClure and Faunce disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 3. In particular, Zumkehr discloses the data transfer method wherein a signal rising slew rate [pull-up] is set independently of a signal falling slew rate [pull-down] [col.2, ll.10-31; separate calibrations].
- 37. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Sharpe.

Art Unit: 2116

- 38. McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 8. McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr did not disclose explicitly a clock signal.
- 39. Sharpe discloses a data transfer system [dds 150] wherein a control signal is a clock signal [tr data clk] [fig.4; col.6, ll.4-48; clock signals used to time operations].
- 40. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Sharpe, McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr before him at the time the invention was made, to use the clock control signal taught by Sharpe for the system disclosed by McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as the clock control signal taught by Sharpe is a well known control signal suitable for use with the data transfer system of McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to provide necessary timing for various system operations [Sharpe: col.6, ll.4-48; clock signals used for data acquisition].
- 41. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Nilsson.
- 42. In re claim 12, McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 8. McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr did not discuss a particular specification.
- 43. Nilsson discloses a data transfer system [fig.1] wherein the data signals and the control signal [hstrobe] conform to AT Attachment (ATA) Specifications [col.4, l.35 col.5, l.18].
- 44. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Nilsson, McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr before him at the time the invention was made, to use

Art Unit: 2116

the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson for the system disclosed by McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson is a well known specification suitable for use with the data transfer system of McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a standardized way to transfer data [Nilsson: col.4, ll.35-49].

- 45. As to claim 13, Nilsson discloses the data transfer method wherein the data signals and the control signal conform to ATA Packet Interface (ATAPI) Specifications [col.4, ll.35-55].
- 46. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure in view of Faunce and Zumkehr.
- 47. McClure discloses a storage device [memory 1] connected to a host system [external data terminal], said storage device comprising at least one driver in an interface section [i/o circuitry 28] of said storage device [col.5, ll.3-18; output to external data terminal], said at least one driver capable of transmitting to the host system multiple data signals via multiple data signal lines [input/output terminal dq 0-7] and at least one control signal via at least one control signal line [write enable terminal w], said multiple data signal lines and said at least one control signal line being allocated in parallel [fig.9, col.17, ll.41-55].
- 48. McClure did not discuss the details of sending via the driver with the data signals slew rate being smaller than the control signal slew rate.
- 49. Regarding the data signals slew rate being smaller than the control signal slew rate, Faunce discloses a data transfer method [abstract] comprising:

Art Unit: 2116

 Setting a data signal slew rate smaller than a control signal slew rate [col.2, ll.16-30; low pass filtering of data lines makes slew of data lines smaller than strobe with is delayed to compensate].

- 50. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure to include the teachings of Faunce, in order to obtain the storage device comprising a data signal slew rate having been set smaller than a control signal slew rate by the host system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to improve parallel communication over extended distances [Faunce: col.1, 1.35 col.2, 1.8].
- 51. Regarding sending via the driver the desired slew rates, Zumkehr discloses a data transfer method [abstract] comprising:
 - Sending via a driver [driver cell 102] the signals having a variable signal slew rate [col.1,
 1.66 col.2, 1.9; col.2, ll.32-42].
- 52. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr and McClure before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure to include the teachings of Zumkehr, in order to obtain the storage device comprising the at least one driver capable of sending the data signals with a variable data signal slew rate and the at least one control signal with a variable control signal slew rate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Zumkehr: col.1, ll.12-31].

Art Unit: 2116

53. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Kuroiwa.

- 54. In re claim 16, McClure Faunce and Zumkehr disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 8. In particular, McClure discloses the storage device wherein the storage device [memory 1] is one of at least one storage devices connected to the host system [at least one memory 1 connected to external data terminal] and Zumkehr discloses the at least one driver [driver cell 102] transmits the signals at a slew rate value [col.1, 1.66 col.2, 1.9; col.2, 11.32-42].
- 55. McClure Faunce and Zumkehr did not discuss determining a quantity of devices connected to the host system and setting an optimum value of the control signal slew rate obtained from a table.
- 56. Kuroiwa discloses a data transfer system [fig.1] comprising a table [library] correlating the quantity of the connected devices [loads] to the optimum values of the signal slew rates [slew rate Tmax] [col.6, 1.53 col.7, 1.37; col.8, 11.10-17].
- 57. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr, Kuroiwa, McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure, Zumkehr and Faunce to include the teachings of Kuroiwa, in order to obtain the storage device wherein said storage device, being one of at least one storage devices connected to the host system, further comprises a table correlating a quantity of said at least one storage devices to optimum values of said data signal slew rate and said control signal slew rate, and wherein said at least one driver transmits said multiple data signals and said at least one control signal at said optimum values. One of ordinary skill in the art would have

Application/Control Number: 10/010,611

Art Unit: 2116

been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Faunce: col.2, ll.16-21; slew rate may be increased despite low pass filtering to increase the data lines' maximum rate of change between voltage levels] [Zumkehr: col.1, ll.12-31] [Kuroiwa: col.3, ll.21-61; determination of accurate slew rate based on quantity of devices is important].

Page 14

- As to claim 17, Zumkehr, McClure and Faunce disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 3. In particular, Zumkehr discloses the data transfer method wherein a signal rising slew rate [pull-up] is set independently of a signal falling slew rate [pull-down] [col.2, ll.10-31; separate calibrations].
- 59. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Nilsson.
- 60. In re claim 18, McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 15. McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr did not discuss a particular specification.
- 61. Nilsson discloses a data transfer system [fig.1] wherein the control signal is a strobe signal [hstrobe] conforming to AT Attachment (ATA) Specifications [col.4, l.35 col.5, l.18].
- 62. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Nilsson, McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr before him at the time the invention was made, to use the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson for the system disclosed by McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson is a well known specification suitable for use with the storage device of McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr. One of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2116

would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a standardized way to transfer data [Nilsson: col.4, ll.35-49].

- 63. As to claim 19, Nilsson discloses the storage device wherein the control signal is a strobe signal [hstrobe] conforming to ATA Packet Interface (ATAPI) Specifications [col.4, ll.35-55].
- 64. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure in view of Faunce and Zumkehr.
- 65. McClure discloses a computer system [abstract] comprising:
 - A host system [external data terminal].
 - A storage device [memory 1].
 - At least one driver in said host system [inherently, a driver in the broadest interpretation is needed to drive data to memory] and at least one driver in said storage device [i/o circuitry 28], each said at least on driver in said host system and each said at least one driver in said storage device being capable of generating a data signal [input/output terminal dq 0-7] and a control signal [write enable terminal w] [col.5, ll.3-18; signals being generated both ways for input or output].
- 66. McClure did not discuss the details of generating via the driver the data signals slew rate being smaller than the control signal slew rate.
- 67. Regarding the data signals slew rate being smaller than the control signal slew rate, Faunce discloses a data transfer system [abstract] comprising:
 - Setting a data signal slew rate smaller than a control signal slew rate [col.2, ll.16-30; low pass filtering of data lines makes slew of data lines smaller than strobe with is delayed to compensate].

Art Unit: 2116

- 68. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure to include the teachings of Faunce, in order to obtain the computer system wherein the host system sets the variable data signal slew rate smaller than the variable control signal slew rate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to improve parallel communication over extended distances [Faunce: col.1, 1.35 col.2, 1.8].
- 69. Regarding generating via the driver the desired slew rates, Zumkehr discloses a data transfer system [abstract] comprising:
 - Generating via a driver [driver cell 102] the signals having a variable signal slew rate [col.1, 1.66 col.2, 1.9; col.2, 11.32-42].
- 70. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr and McClure before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure to include the teachings of Zumkehr, in order to obtain the computer system comprising at least one driver in said host system and at least one driver in said storage device, each said at least one driver in said host system and each said at least one driver in said storage device being capable of generating a data signal and a control signal, each said data signal having a variable data signal slew rate and each said control signal having a variable control signal slew rate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Zumkehr: col.1, Il.12-31].

Application/Control Number: 10/010,611

Art Unit: 2116

71. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Kuroiwa.

Page 17

- 72. In re claim 22, McClure Faunce and Zumkehr disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 21. In particular, Zumkehr discloses the system wherein the host system [device 100] sets a value of the control signal slew rate at a control driver [driver cell 102] [col.1, 1.66 col.2, 1.9; col.2, 1l.32-42].
- 73. McClure Faunce and Zumkehr did not discuss determining a quantity of devices connected to the host system and setting an optimum value of the control signal slew rate obtained from a table.
- 74. Kuroiwa discloses a system [fig.5] comprising a table [library] containing an optimum value of a signal slew rate [slew rate Tmax] corresponding to a quantity of devices [loads] connected [col.6, 1.53 col.7, 1.37; col.8, 11.10-17].
- 75. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Zumkehr, Kuroiwa, McClure and Faunce before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by McClure, Zumkehr and Faunce to include the teachings of Kuroiwa, in order to obtain the computer system comprising a table containing an optimum value of said control signal slew rate corresponding to a quantity of devices connected to said host system, wherein said host system sets said optimum value of said control signal slew rate at said control driver upon said host system determining said quantity of devices. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control the slew rate for optimal communication [Faunce: col.2, ll.16-21; slew rate may be increased despite low pass filtering to increase the data lines' maximum rate of change between voltage levels]

Art Unit: 2116

[Zumkehr: col.1, ll.12-31] [Kuroiwa: col.3, ll.21-61; determination of accurate slew rate based on quantity of devices is important].

- 76. As to claim 23, Zumkehr, McClure and Faunce disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 3. In particular, Zumkehr discloses the system wherein a signal rising slew rate [pull-up] is set independently of a signal falling slew rate [pull-down] [col.2, ll.10-31; separate calibrations].
- 77. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Nilsson.
- 78. In re claim 24, McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 21. McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr did not discuss a particular specification.
- 79. Nilsson discloses a computer system [fig.1] wherein the control signal is a strobe signal [hstrobe] conforming to AT Attachment (ATA) Specifications [col.4, 1.35 col.5, 1.18].
- 80. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Nilsson, McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr before him at the time the invention was made, to use the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson for the system disclosed by McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr as the ATA Specifications taught by Nilsson is a well known specification suitable for use with the computer system of McClure, Faunce and Zumkehr. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a standardized way to transfer data [Nilsson: col.4, ll.35-49].

Art Unit: 2116

81. As to claim 19, Nilsson discloses the computer system wherein the control signal is a strobe signal [hstrobe] conforming to ATA Packet Interface (ATAPI) Specifications [col.4, ll.35-55].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 82. Claims 7, 14, 20, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 83. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the claims are allowable because none of the references cited, either alone or in combination discloses or renders obvious a data transfer system, data transfer method, storage device, and computer system of claims 7, 14, 20, and 26, respectively, and all limitations of the associated base claims.

Conclusion

84. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additionally cited U.S. patent documents describe various methods and systems for parallel communication and slew rate control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tse Chen October 26, 2004

LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3606 ZIOO